

A summary of representations on the Kings Clipstone Neighbourhood Plan submitted to the Independent Examiner

A summary of Regulation 14 consultation responses can be seen at:

<https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/media/newarkandsherwood/imagesandfiles/planningpolicy/pdfs/neighbourhoodplanning/kingsclipstoneneighbourhoodplan/Consultation%20Statement.pdf>

Regulation 16 consultation responses:

There were a total of 23 Regulation 16 consultation responses.

Historic England wrote that the Plan Area includes a number of important designated heritage assets including King John's palace Scheduled Monument and Grade II listed building Archway House. They stressed the importance of safeguarding those elements which contribute to the significance of these assets. They also recommended consulting relevant officers at Newark & Sherwood District Council and Nottinghamshire County Council, and referred to general advice.

The Environment Agency and Severn Trent Water had no specific comments but referred to general advice.

National Grid identified a high voltage overhead powerline in the Plan Area, and pointed out that there may be low pressure and/or medium pressure gas distribution pipes present.

The Coal Authority commented that the Neighbourhood Plan area lies within the current defined coalfield, there are recorded risks from past coal mining activity in the form of fissures, and that there have been 21 mining related hazards reported. Beyond this, they made no specific comments.

Newark & Sherwood District Council commented that they were satisfied that the Plan is largely in accordance with the Strategic elements of the Newark & Sherwood Local Development Framework and could be implemented as part of it. Some amendments were however suggested:

- A correction to the name of a document referred to.
- Amendments to NP1: Sustainable Development for greater clarity.
- The removal of the word 'infill' from criteria 1 of NP2.
- Removing the area of the play space from within the built-up area boundary as detailed on Map 4.
- Stating that the view cones on Map 6 are indicative and including images/titles of the 4 vantage points.
- Changing the colour of the key landscape viewpoints on Map 6 and referencing viewpoints A, B, C and against each point of Policy NP3.
- Re-wording criterion 1 of NP5 to make it National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) compliant.
- Reconsidering whether Aspirational Policy 1: Pedestrian Safety is beyond the scope of neighbourhood plans.
- Removing images of local properties from the Design Guide and altering images of cars and vans so that number plates cannot be read.

One resident wrote that many villagers were opposed to the construction of a village hall on the playing field because this would reduce the amount of 'greenfield amenity land' available. The writer also complained about the manner in which the land was purchased by the Parish Council and asserted that accessibility to the field by road was poor. There were further complaints about the way in which a storage shed was being used, that hard copies of the Plan were not distributed to households, and about the attitude of the Parish Council towards villagers.

Another resident wrote in support of the Plan, and wished to see particular importance placed on: the provision of community facilities; restricting development; 'controlling future housing'; traffic volumes and speed; preserving the 'green lung'; public transport for the aging population; preserving what remains of the character of the village; and restricting tourism. They also wished for the construction of a bypass.

One resident wrote that they objected to nothing in the Plan, and that they did not want any more housing in the village, or to become part of Clipstone.

Another resident supported the Plan, and commented that the Plan had had a lot of work put into it, and it reflected the wishes of many residents that 'the village retains its history and uniqueness'.

Eleven residents expressed support without commenting on a specific aspect of the Plan.

Two residents made no comment but indicated that they wish to be notified when the District Council intends to formally adopt the Neighbourhood Plan.